	TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION N° I-ET-3010.00-1300-140-P4X-			X-001						
V - 7		CLIENT:							SHEET	1 of 16
B	R	PROGRAM	М:							
PETRO	BRAS	ÁREA:								
		TITLE:	CUIDEI	INFS FOI	STRIC	TIRAT	FSIGN AC	LAINGT	N	P.1
DP&T-SRGE			GUIDEL		BLAST	LOADS			E	SUP
				INDI	EX OF R	EVISIONS	5			
DEV				ECOIDI						
	ODICI	TAT	L	DESCRIPT	ION AN	D/OK KEV	VISED SHE	E12		
0	ORIGI	NAL								
А	REVISI	ED WH	HERE INDI	CATED						
	R	EV. 0	REV. A	REV. B	REV. C	REV. D	REV. E	REV. F	REV. G	REV. H
DATE	07/2	24/2020	04/22/2021				┨────┤			
EXECUTION	C	YMQ	CYMQ							
CHECK	E	SX75	BX75							
APPROVAL	Ε	3X65	CMYR							
INFORMATIO	N IN THIS DOCU	JMENT IS F	PROPERTY OF PETH	ROBRAS, BEING P	ROHIBITED OU	TSIDE OF THEIR I	PURPOSE.			
FORM OWNEI	D TO PETROBRA	AS N-0381 R	REV. L.							

	TECHNICAL SPECIFCATION	^{N^o} I-ET-3010.00-1300-140-	P4X-001	REV. A
-7-)	PROGRAM		SHEET: 2	of 16
ВЛ	GUIDELINES FOR STRUC	TURAL DESIGN AGAINST	NP-	1
PETROBRAS	BLAST	LOADS	ESU	Р
	INDE	X		
1. INTRODU	CTION			3
2. REFEREN	CES			4
2.1. DESIGN	N DOCUMENTS			4
2.2. RULES,	, CODES AND STANDARDS			4
3. UNITS				5
4. BLAST ST	RUCTURAL ASSESSMENT INPUT			6
4.1. BLAST	SCENARIO DEFINITION			6
4.2. IGNITIC	ON POSITION AND BLAST LOAD I	DIRECTION		6
4.3. STRUC	TURAL CONFIGURATION			9
4.5. APPLIE	D LUADING			10
4.0. CKIIIC	AL SIKUCIUKAL HEMIS THICTHDAL ASSESSMENT METH			10
J. DLASI SI	S EOD STDUCTUDAL ANALVSIS	5001001		11
61 BLAST	I OAD I EVELS			13
62 CLASS	IFICATION OF RESPONSE			13
63 DYNAN	AIC AMPLIFICATION FACTOR			13
64 STREN	GTH LEVEL ANALYSIS			13
6.5. DUCTI	LITY LEVEL ANALYSIS			14
7. DELIVER	ABLES			16

BR petrobras	TECHNICAL SPECIFCATION	^{N⁰} I-ET-3010.00-1300-140-	P4X-001	REV. A
	PROGRAM		SHEET: 3	of 16
	TITLE: GUIDELINES FOR STRUC	NP-1		
	BLAST	BLAST LOADS		ESUP
1. INTROD	UCTION			

The main objective of the blast assessment of offshore structures is to assess the main safety functions and identify and mitigate vulnerable components or structures that could lead to personnel life/safety incidents, environmental releases and facility damage when exposed to blast scenarios.

This document presents the preliminary guidelines and methodology for the blast structural analysis used in structural assessment to blast accidental condition of offshore structures. The presented methodology is based on the following methods commonly used for the blast structural analysis:

- Strength level analysis;
- Ductility level analysis.

The analyses described in this technical specification address the behavior of the structure during accidental limit states. Post-blast assessment shall be performed in order to evaluate the possibility of reuse of the structure after a blast event, considering buckling and high deformation of structural components. Post-blast assessment methodology is not within the scope of this document.

The content indicated hereafter does not exclude the provisions by the Classification Society (CS), also to be complied with. Any unfavorable deviation between the information provided by this document and the Classification Society rules must be reported to PETROBRAS.

		TECHNICAL SPECIECATION	[№] I_FT_3010 00_1300_1/0_		REV.
_		PROGRAM	1-121-3010.00-1300-140-	SHEET:	
B	R	TITI F.		4	OI 10
PETROBRAS		GUIDELINES FOR STRUC	FURAL DESIGN AGAINST	NP	'-1
PETROBRAS		BLAST	LOADS	ES	UP
2. R	REFERE	NCES			
This s	ection pro	esents the documents that will be necess	sary as references for the blast s	structural and	alyses.
	•		·		2
2.1	. DESIG	SN DOCUMENTS			
[1]	STRUC	TURAL REQUIREMENTS;			
[2]	PRIMA	RY STRUCTURES DRAWINGS;			
[3]	SECON	DARY STRUCTURES DRAWINGS;			
[4]	GENER	AL NOTES FOR STRUCTURES;			
[5]	WEIGH	T CONTROL REPORT;			
[6]	GENER	AL ARRANGEMENT;			
[7]	METOO	CEAN DATA;			
2.2	. RULE	S, CODES AND STANDARDS			
[8]	API RP	2TOP – Topsides Structure – 1st Ed. –	2019:		
[9]	DNV R	P C208 – Determination of Structura	Capacity by Non-linear FE a	analysis Me	thods –
[~]	2013:				
[10]	DNV O	S C101 – Design of Offshore Steel Stru	ctures. General (LRFD Method	0 -2011:	
[11]	ABS Gu	idance Notes on Accidental Load Anal	vsis and Design for Offshore St	tructures – 2	.013:
[12]	DR-EN	GP-M-I-1.3 – Safety Engineering Guide	eline:)
[13]	I-ET-30	00.00-5400-98G-P4X-003 – Fire Propa	gation and Smoke Dispersion S	tudy:	
[14]	Design	of Blast-Resistant Buildings in Petroche	emical Facilities, 2 nd Edition, A	SCE- 2010;	
[15]	DNVGI	RP C204 – Design against accidental	loads –2017;		
[16]	ISO 19	901-3 – Petroleum and natural gas	industries — Specific require	ments for c	offshore
	structur	es — Part 3: Topsides structure – 2014 ;			
[17]	I-ET-30	00.00-5400-98G-P4X-001 – Explosion	Study;		
[18]	API RP	2FB - Design of Offshore Facilities Ag	gainst Fire and Blast Loading –	1st Ed 20	06.

	TECHNICAL SPECIFCATION	[№] I-ET-3010.00-1300-140-	P4X-001	REV. A
-1-)	PROGRAM		SHEET: 5	of 16
BA	TITLE: GUIDELINES FOR STRUC	TURAL DESIGN AGAINST	NP-	1
PETROBRAS BLAST LOADS		ESUP		
3. UNITS				
The Internationa	al System of Units (SI) shall be adop	ted for the analyses presented	in this docur	nent.
Decimals multip	bles and fractions of the following units	s are used:		
• Length: n	neter (m)			
 Mass: kile 	ogram (kg)			
• Force: Ne	wton (N)			
• Stress: Pa	$scal (1 Pa = 1 N/m^2)$			
• Time: sec	cond (s)			
• Angle: de	egree (°)			

• Temperature: degree Celsius (°C)

	TECHNICAL SPECIFCATIO	N ^{N°} I-ET-3010.00-1300-140-	P4X-001 REV. A			
-7-	PROGRAM		SHEET: 6 of 16			
DA	TITLE: GUIDELINES FOR STR	GUIDELINES FOR STRUCTURAL DESIGN AGAINST BLAST LOADS				
PETROE	BLA					
4. BL	4. BLAST STRUCTURAL ASSESSMENT INPUT					
The blas	structural assessment consists of five prin	nary inputs:				
i. l	Blast scenario definition;					
ii. l	gnition position and blast load direction;					
iii. S	Structural configuration;					
iv. I	Material properties;					
V. 4	Applied loading;					

vi. Critical structural items.

4.1. BLAST SCENARIO DEFINITION

The blast scenarios, defined according to the blast simulation results obtained with 3D Computational Fluid Dynamics (CFD) from the Explosion Risk Analysis shall be considered as input for the blast structural analyses.

For the basic design phase, where the blast CFD analysis results are not yet available due to the timing of the project, the overpressures presented in Annex II of [17] shall be used for the structural assessment. The preliminary blast design overpressure are based on previous 3D CFD results documented on the Explosion Risk Analysis reports obtained for several modules considering different FPSO plants (Replicant FPSOs, like P-66 and others and Cessão Onerosa FPSOs) and details as well as different CFD software. The preliminary design overpressures were obtained considering the typical function of each topside module or structure.

The structure of each section of the Central Piperack shall be assessed with the higher overpressure of the adjacent modules. The topside structures shall be designed to withstand the minimum blast overpressures presented in Annex II of [17].

For the detailing design phase, the design overpressures shall be obtained considering the 3D CFD simulation results from the corresponding Explosion Risk Analysis study. The resulting design overpressures shall be used to verify the adequacy of the overpressures adopted at the basic design phase and the structure shall be reassessed where needed.

4.2. IGNITION POSITION AND BLAST LOAD DIRECTION

The actual position of the ignition point has a strong influence on the resulting explosion overpressure. Besides defining the blast wave direction, its position is important also due to the fact that the pressure magnitude decreases with the distance to the ignition point.

In order to account for the overpressure changes due to the ignition point position, the following positions shall be considered for the ignition point whenever possible to occur:

- Outside the module at portside;
- Outside the module at starboard;
- Outside the module at aft;
- Outside the module at forward;
- Inside the module;

For each position of the ignition point mentioned above, changes in the ignition point elevation shall also be considered to generate the possible blast loads in the structure. Examples of blast ignition points located outside the module structure are shown in Figure 1. Blast wave loads due to an ignition positioned at portside and starboard is shown in Figure 2. Examples of changes in elevation as well as the respective loads in the structure elevations can be seen in Figure 3.

	TECHNICAL SPECIFCATION	L SPECIFCATION ^{N°} I-ET-3010.00-1300-140-P4		
-7-7	PROGRAM		SHEET: 7	of 16
PETROBRAS	GUIDELINES FOR STRUCTURAL DESIGN AGAINST		NP-1	
	BLAST	BLAST LOADS		ESUP
Permeability or effects on the st views and shall	obstruction of the structure might be ructural elements. The permeability fa be documented on the design documen	considered to simulate the res actor might me estimated based t, if used.	pective blast on the 3D n	load nodel



Figure 1 - Blast ignition points outside the module



	TECHNICAL SPECIFCATION	[•] I-ET-3010.00-1300-140-1	P4X-001	REV. A	
-7-7	PROGRAM		SHEET: 9	of 16	
BA	TLE: GUIDELINES FOR STRUCTURAL DESIGN AGAINST		NP-	1	
PETROBRAS	BLAST L	OADS	ESU	Р	

4.3. STRUCTURAL CONFIGURATION

The structural geometry considers the facility topsides and equipment. The structural layout identifies the position of equipment and structural members relative to ignition points considered. This will influence the development of key factors pertinent to the acceptance criteria. The shape of the topside module where the explosion takes place, the degree of congestion, ignition location are important factors to be considered in the blast structural assessment. Structural redundancy, as load carried by collapsed members can be redistributed, as well as permeability, allowing the blast wave to travel through the space between the main structural elements, have a high impact on the overall structural integrity.

4.4. MATERIAL PROPERTIES

The materials are modelled with its properties at a reference temperature of 20 °C, with the minimum yield strength presented at [1] and [10], according to the member and steel type (Rolled Profile, Welded Profile, Pipes, Plates). Some of the properties, at reference temperature of 20 °C, are presented below:

- Young's Modulus: $E = 206\ 000\ MPa$
- Poisson's Ratio: v = 0.3
- Density: $\rho = 7 \ 850 \ \text{kg/m}^3$

Specifications referenced in the codes define minimum mechanical properties for various grades of material. In practice, the average yield strength of steel materials being installed is approximately 25% greater than the specified minimum values [14]. A strength increase factor is used to account for this condition and its recommended value is 1.10 for the structural steel [18]. Application of the recommended 1.1 factor is warranted for petrochemical facilities where it is desired to reduce conservatism and make use of the full available blast capacity [14].

To incorporate the effect of material strength increase with strain rate, a dynamic increase factor is applied to static strength values. DIFs are simply ratios of dynamic material strength to static strength and are a function of material type as well as strain rate as described above. DIFs are also dependent on the type of stress (i.e. flexural, direct shear) [14]. The dynamic strength is determined by multiplying the static strength (increased by the strength increase factor) by the DIF. The dynamic increase factors are shown in Table 1.

Material	Strength Increase Factor	Dynamic Increase Factor (DIF)			
	(SIF)	Bending/Shear	Tension/Compression	Ultimate Stress	
Structural Steel (Fy ≤ 345 MPa)	1.10	1.29	1.19	1.10	

Table 1 – Dynamic increase factors [18]

Dynamic increase factors for steel member connections can be conservatively ignored [14].

[-]-]	TECHNICAL SPECIFCATION	[№] I-ET-3010.00-1300-140-	P4X-001	REV. A	
	PROGRAM		SHEET: 10	of 16	
BA	GUIDELINES FOR STRUC	GUIDELINES FOR STRUCTURAL DESIGN AGAINST		NP-1	
PETROBRAS	BLAST LOADS		ESUP		
4.5. APPL	IED LOADING				

Loads are separated into two categories for the blast events:

- i. Blast pressure and drag loads;
- ii. Structural loads.

Blast loads will be based on information from the previously developed blast scenario definition for a given event. The blast loads will include the effects of both overpressure and drag. The blast overpressure will be defined by its distance from the ignition source, the blast exceedance curve (peak overpressure exceedance), and a pressure curve, as shown in Figure 4. The drag loads are derived based on the pressure and gas flow velocity associated with the blast as well as the drag coefficients for the structure and equipment present.



Figure 4 – Derivation of simplified pressure-time profile

Structural loads to be considered in the models can be separated into several broad categories:

- Dead loads consist of structural members self-weight, non-modeled structural weights, miscellaneous items (such as electrical, instrumentation, safety, telecom), operating piping and operating equipment weights;
- Live loads at 75% of their maximum design values (only at laydown and storage areas). Other live loads as well as environmental loads are not to be considered;
- Functional loads such as helideck and crane loads may be considered but typically only if pertinent to the blast scenario identified.
- Hull deflections at static condition.

4.6. CRITICAL STRUCTURAL ITEMS

Among the inputs for the structural analyses is the definition of critical structural:

- Primary structure (according to design documents);
- Secondary structural elements considered important;
- Secondary structure supporting important equipment;
- Secondary structure supporting piping (escalation);

The definition of the important equipment, secondary structure and piping support structures, as well as the structural performance criteria to be adopted for those elements supporting equipment and piping, shall be defined in a specific meeting with PETROBRAS and Designer's representatives of the following disciplines: structures, process, safety, piping and equipment. Item 4.3.2.1 from safety engineering guideline [12], shall be considered in the critical equipment/structure definition.

	TECHNICAL SPECIFCATION Nº I-ET-3010.00-1300-14	40-P4X-001	REV. A
BR petrobras	PROGRAM	SHEET: 1	l 1 of 16
	TITLE: GUIDELINES FOR STRUCTURAL DESIGN AGAINS	T NI	P-1
	BLAST LOADS	ES	UP

5. BLAST STRUCTURAL ASSESSMENT METHODOLOGY

The blast structural assessment methodology presented in this document is based on the Recommended Practice API RP 2TOP [8] and ISO 19.901:3 [16]. There are two different assessment methods that shall be performed:

- i. Strength level blast analysis;
- ii. Ductility level blast analysis;

The strength level analysis is a linear elastic analysis (static or quasi-static) with code checks, which provides a way to ensure that the primary structure will remain elastic and not be permanently damaged by the explosion. If the strength level analysis fails to satisfy the performance criteria, blast mitigation and/or structural modification shall be considered.

The ductility level analysis assesses the structural response accounting for geometric and material nonlinearities. Explicit computer program checks should be performed to verify that the structural performance is within acceptable limits. This analysis is to ensure that the structure will not collapse and that no local collapse escalates the personnel health and safety or environmental risk exposure. If the ductility level analysis fails to meet the performance criteria, blast mitigation and/or structural modification shall be considered.

The blast structural assessment workflow, as considered in this document, is presented in Figure 5.

In order to start the blast structural assessment, the blast scenario overpressure shall be obtained. Once the blast overpressure is defined, the structure shall be assessed with the two methods:

- 1. strength level blast analysis.
- 2. ductility level blast analyses.

The structure and facility needs to be verified to meet the acceptance criteria defined in the respective sections of this document.



Figure 5 – Blast structural assessment workflow

	TECHNICAL SPECIFCATION N° I-ET-3010.00-1300-140-1	P4X-001	REV. A				
-7-1	PROGRAM	SHEET: 13	of 16				
BA	TITLE: GUIDELINES FOR STRUCTURAL DESIGN AGAINST	NP-1					
PETROBRAS BLAST LOADS		ESU	Р				
6. METHOI	6. METHODS FOR STRUCTURAL ANALYSIS						

6.1. BLAST LOAD LEVELS

The blast assessment involves two event sizes:

- 1. Ductility Level Blast (DLB), a low probability high consequence event, associated with the annual occurrence frequency of 2.5×10^{-4} . For the main structure, the annual occurrence frequency of 1.0×10^{-4} shall be considered.
- 2. A higher likelihood but lower magnitude strength-level blast (SLB), associated with the annual occurrence frequency in the range between $1.0x10^{-2}$ [16] and $2.5x10^{-3}$. The blast assessment should be performed using the SLB overpressure associated with the lowest occurrence frequency whenever possible. The minimum blast overpressure acceptable for the SLB is the blast overpressure corresponding to the annual occurrence frequency of $1.0x10^{-2}$. The maximum SLB overpressure that the structure supports, within the frequency range above and without the need for mitigation shall also be defined.

In the absence of calculated pressures for the SLB level, the SLB overpressure can be taken as 1/3 of the DLB level blast overpressure.

6.2. CLASSIFICATION OF RESPONSE

The response of a structural component can conveniently be classified into three categories according to the duration of the explosion pressure pulse, t_d , relative to the fundamental period of vibration of the component, T [15].

- 1. In the impulsive domain, $t_d/T < 0.3$, the maximum displacement is governed by the explosion impulse and the structure may resist a very high peak pressure provided that the duration is sufficiently small.
- 2. In the dynamic domain, $0.3 < t_d/T < 3$, the response is solved from integration of the dynamic equilibrium equations.
- 3. In the quasi-static domain, $t_d/T > 3$, the maximum displacement is governed by the peak pressure, p_{max} , and the rise time of the pressure relative to the fundamental period of vibration of the structure or structural component under consideration. If the rise time is large, i.e. if t_d/T is much greater than 3, the maximum deformation of the component can be solved from static equilibrium. If the rise time is small, i.e. if t_d/T is closer to 3, a dynamic magnification will be present.

The choice of domain of modeling for the structure (impulsive, dynamic or quasi-static) shall be made considering the limits above. Dynamic effects, where relevant, shall be captured by the chosen modeling technique. Any mass that is associated with in-place actions shall be included in a dynamic analysis.

6.3. DYNAMIC AMPLIFICATION FACTOR

Dynamic amplification factor shall be considered in the blast structural assessment. For the ductility level blast, a non-linear dynamic finite element analysis might be performed, which evaluates the dynamic behavior of the structure and implicitly takes into account the dynamic amplification. For static or quasi-static analyses, the dynamic amplification factor shall be considered explicitly. Based on previous blast analyses, a DAF of at least 1.2 might be used.

	TECHNICAL SPECIFCATION	[№] I-ET-3010.00-1300-140-	P4X-001	REV. A			
ER petrobras	PROGRAM		SHEET: 14	of 16			
	TITLE: GUIDELINES FOR STRUCTURAL DESIGN AGAINST BLAST LOADS		NP-1				
			ESUP				
6.4 STRENGTH I EVEL ANALYSIS							

The strength level analysis is a linear-elastic analysis of an equivalent static load corresponding to the blast overpressure that incorporates plastic code checks. The equivalent static load for the strength level analysis, P_{SLB} , is the peak overpressure considered from the strength level blast (SLB_{press}) scaled by a dynamic amplification factor (DAF):

$P_{SLB} = DAF \cdot SLB_{press}$

For the code check all load and material factors may be set to unity. If a WSD method is used then enhance the code allowable stresses by 1.67, which gives the allowable stress for a "yielding member" equal to the material guaranteed yield. The material yield can be enhanced by the strength increase factor of 1.10 according to Table 1. The allowable stresses can be increased by the dynamic increase factor according to Table 1. Then, the structural members are permitted to experience member utilization up to 1.0.

6.5. DUCTILITY LEVEL ANALYSIS

The nonlinear, elastoplastic method (ductility level blast analysis) shall be used to assess the structural response when exposed to a blast event while accounting for geometric and material nonlinearities. It is anticipated that standard code checks will not be applicable to this assessment and explicit checks shall be performed to verify that the structural performance is within acceptable limits. This type of analysis assumes the structure will undergo plastic deformation and acceptance criteria would be set using deformation limits, strain limits (i.e., steel rupture), potential for buckling, connection capacities, etc. Ultimately, the goal of the analysis is to ensure that the structure will not catastrophically collapse under the blast load and that no local collapse escalates the personnel health and safety or environmental risk exposure.

The following guidelines should be followed when developing a DLB model:

- Mesh size should be appropriate to capture global buckling effects (e.g., beam-column buckling);
- Section/material properties should be modified to properly account for the effects of local element buckling (e.g., local flange buckling in a deck beam). For some MDOF models, the mesh size may have to be fine enough to explicitly capture local buckling effects, but usually a global model is too large to allow for this level of refinement, therefore requiring additional checks;
- Material properties should account for nonlinear material behavior with stress-strain curves from [9];
- Geometric nonlinearities should be captured (e.g., P-Delta effects);
- Strength increase factor should be used to account for the average or mean yield stress instead of the minimum specified yield stress;
- Strain-rate effects on the material yield may be considered as shown in Table 1
- Dynamic effects shall be accounted for.

The structural criteria to be used in the ductility analyses are the following:

- Global structure stability shall be preserved at the end of the blast event ensuring that there is no sudden or progressive collapse of the overall topside structure;
- Any blast walls and fire walls shall remain in place without rupture or discontinuation of their supports; deformation of the wall shall be limited to avoid escalation.
- Safety critical elements (SCEs) that are designed to mitigate the effects of a major accident, such as those necessary for (a) the safe shut down of the installation, (b) personnel protection and escape, (c) fire protection, suppression and control, (d) communications, and (e) hydrocarbon containment including transport and storage; shall remain intact.

	TECHNICAL SPECIFCATION	^{N⁰} I-ET-3010.00-1300-140-	P4X-001	REV. A				
BR petrobras	PROGRAM		SHEET: 15	of 16				
	GUIDELINES FOR STRUCTURAL DESIGN AGAINST BLAST LOADS		NP-1					
			ESUP					

- Local buckling shall be prevented or considered accordingly.
- Critical deformation of secondary structure shall avoid damage to critical equipment and piping supports, as defined according to 4.6.
- The design shall take into account the ultimate limit state beyond which the calculated deformations of the structure would cause failure due to the loss of adequate support to one of the members.

The main load-bearing primary structure which is one of the SCE and is fundamental to the support of the temporary refuge, the life boats and other components essential to the safety of the personnel shall retain sufficient integrity during accidental situations to provide:

- protection to personnel for a duration sufficient to effect their evacuation;
- protection to the environment for a duration sufficient to effect containment of hydrocarbon spillages from process equipment.

The criteria proposed in this section are based on performance and shall be complied with. In addition, for structural limits, the designer shall use the references from section 2 as guidance. Some structural limits are given below:

- Deformation limits: API RP-2TOP [8] section A.7.10.4.2.3;
- Strain Limits: API RP-2TOP [8] section A.7.10.4.2.4;
- Buckling: API RP-2TOP [8] section A.7.10.4.3.1, the effects of global member buckling in the global strength shall be captured by the model. Local buckling, not covered by the model, and its effects on global member bucking shall be addressed in accordance with recognized literature and Classification Society;
- Connection capacity: API RP-2TOP [8] section A.7.10.4.3.1, if not explicitly covered by the modelling technique, criteria shall be in accordance with recognized literature, provided that it is accepted by the Classification Society.

ER petrobras	TECHNICAL SPECIFCATION \mathbb{N}^2	I-ET-3010.00-1300-140-I	P4X-001 REV. A	1
	PROGRAM		SHEET: 16 of 16	
	GUIDELINES FOR STRUCTURAL DESIGN AGAINST BLAST LOADS		NP-1	
			ESUP	

7. DELIVERABLES

The final report with the results from the blast structural analyses shall be delivered. The premises, model information, mechanical loads, load combinations, material properties, sectional properties, boundary conditions, dynamic amplification factors, dynamic increase factors, stress-strain curves, pressure-time curves and other relevant information regarding the structural analysis method performed shall be documented in the report. Code check settings and results as well as stress and strain plots shall be included in the reports if relevant to the chosen structural analysis method.